

SHIP ARREST IN SERBIA

By Milošević Radomir*
Law Office Milošević
r.milosevic@lawmilos.rs
lawoffice@lawmilos.rs
Prote Mateje 24, 11000 Belgrade
Republic of Serbia
Tel: +381 11 3441161
Fax: + 381 11 3448548



1. Please give an overview of ship arrest practice in your country.

Overview is contained in answers given herein below.

2. Which International Convention applies to arrest of ships in your country?

International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules Relating to the Arrest of Sea-Going Ships 1952 (Brussels Convention) is applicable in Serbia and consequently the Marine and Inland Navigation Act (MINA, 1998) is mostly set out in line with the said Convention. Serbia has made reservation to apply domestic rules for disputes as to the title to or ownership of any ship (Article 1, paragraph (1)(o)). Sea-going ship is defined as a vessel intended for sea-going navigation, exceeding 12 meters in length and with GT greater than 15, or authorized to carry more than 12 passengers.

3. Is there any other way to arrest a ship in your jurisdiction?

The ship may be arrested only under the authority of the court for claim with the purpose of security of the claim but other Authority can order detention of the ship such as Harbor Master Office exercising Port State Control. The Customs Office authorities and the Criminal Court may order temporary detention of a ship for the purpose of their proceedings and under restricted terms provided in other laws or other international conventions applicable. It is not the arrest in the sense of the Brussels Convention and MINA.

4. Are these alternatives e.g. saisie conservatoire or freezing order?

The prohibition of alienation and disposal as a specific measure or alternative to ship's arrest exists in Serbian law as a security measure related to claim with the court order to make such entry in the Ship Register in Serbia. Hence, possible alienation of the ship by the Defendant against such prohibition has no legal effect whatsoever.

5. For which types of claims can you arrest a ship?

A ship can be arrested for:

Claims as provided by Article 1 of the Brussels Convention 1952 but when Convention is not applicable for all other claims notwithstanding to the nature if there is no reciprocity between Serbia and the state of the flag. Because of the urgent nature of the ship arrest procedure, examination of the existence of reciprocity by Serbian Court is rarely done, and as a consequence thereof the court usually allows arrest for such ship practically for any type of claim.

6. Can you arrest a ship irrespectively of her flag?

Yes.

7. Can you arrest a ship irrespectively of the debtor?

Yes.

8. What is the position as regards sister ships and ships in associated ownership?

The concept of sister-ship arrest applies in Serbia in the spirit of the Brussels Convention and the same is provided for in MINA, 1998 that the applicant may arrest any ship owned by the person against whom the claim is directed but no other ship than the particular ship in respect of which the claim arose may be arrested in relation to the claim regarding the ownership, mortgage, hypothecs or other like charges attached to a ship.

9. What is the position as regards Bareboat and Time-Chartered vessels?

There is no restriction to arrest the vessel as regards Bareboat and Time-Chartered vessel. In this regard MINA, 1998 does not depart from the Convention. There is a certain ambiguity in regard to Time-Chartered vessel for the bunker supplied to the chartered vessel not paid by the charterer to the supplier. There is no sufficient case law established so far in Serbia to give judge guidelines and the court could be strayed and led to the conclusion that such claim is against the owner of the vessel.

10. Do your Courts require counter-security in order to arrest a ship?

This matter is not regulated by MINA, 1998 but such possibility does exist in other laws and in our experience the Court has never required a counter-security as a precondition for ship's interest in order to arrest a ship or for maintenance of already ordered arrest. Further, the claimant would have to prove in any case the existence of the claim.

11. Is there any difference in respect to arresting a ship for a maritime claim and a maritime lien?

No, there is no difference from the procedural point of view.

12. Does your country recognise maritime liens? Under which International Convention, if any?

Serbia has ratified International Convention on Maritime Liens and Mortgages of 06 May 1993 relating to maritime liens.

13. What lapse of time is required in order to arrest a ship since the moment the file arrives to your law firm?

That depends on the contents of the file and supporting documents all of which must be officially translated. If the claimants have traced the vessel movement and prepared the documents as instructed before vessel's arrival in Serbian territorial waters, at least two days is required to sort documentation and prepare the application, but the official translation of the relevant documents may turn to be time consuming. However, the applicant must put forward a claim meeting the standard of lower level of proof of its existence and be well-grounded (*fumus boni juris*) and that should be observed as the best guidelines in preparing supporting documentation.

14. Do you need to provide a POA or any other documents of the claim to the Court?

Yes. No special form is required to grant a POA to our law firm which authorizes our Law Office to handle the case. The POA is required to be signed by a duly authorized company officer. Apart from POA all documents and evidence supporting the claim against the debtor are also required by the Court including agreements, vouchers, invoices and survey or inspection report by a surveyor or inspector in relation to the damage, and nature of the claim, etc. If the arrest is based on a mortgage, the mortgage document and the appropriate petition for foreclosure, it is prudent to provide the latest excerpt from the Ship's Register.

15. What original documents are required, what documents can be filed electronically, what documents require notarisation and/or apostille, and when are they needed?

Application for arrest must be in original/hard copy because electronic filings with the Court are not possible for the time being. It is recommended that the original of POA be submitted and while pending receipt of the original the POA may be transmitted by facsimile or scanned POA via e-mail will suffice to lodge application for arrest. No other documents are required in original but the court may order presentation of original if in doubt or on explicit demand of the debtor.

16. Will your Courts accept jurisdiction over the substantive claim once a vessel has been arrested?

That is subject to the nature of the claim and some other factors, but the court shall have jurisdiction to determine the case upon its merits in any of the cases specified in detail in the Brussels Convention, 1952, under Article 7, paragraph(1).

17. What is the procedure to release a ship from arrest?

It is very simple and expeditious procedure. The shipowner may deposit the amount of money or other valuables with the court as ordered by the court in which case the vessel will be set free by the judge without application of the parties in dispute by issuing release order for the ship. The release order can be

served to the master through Harbor Master Office to whom the power is presented to act for and on behalf of the court and the release order may be sent by facsimile transmission in order to speed up the release of the vessel.

18. What type of security needs to be placed for the release?

There is a peculiarity in Serbian law in regard of security needed for the release. The court may order the deposit only in the amount for which the applicant had made probable the main claim and according to the standard of lower level of proof of claim but without costs and interest thereon, which is very difficult to assess in the early stage of proceedings. That means the court shall not determine the nature and amount of other security met in practice in other jurisdictions but this peculiarity can be easily overcome in practice with one advantage that the claimant cannot be exposed to the risk to be sued for excessive security sought and its consequences. It is worthwhile to note that deposit with the court as ordered by the court pursuant to provision provided in MINA, 1998 is without doubt a top quality security, but may turn out to be a heavy burden for the owner and is rarely done in practice which is reflected in the practice of Serbian Courts. The court shall play an active role and determine the nature and amount of the claim only in case regarding the default in discharging the agreement between the Parties when the ship has been arrested in respect of any claim enumerated in Article 1(1)(o) and (p) allowing vessel to continue trading.

19. Does security need to cover interest and costs?

Restriction imposed on the court as described under point 18. above cannot prevent the applicant and shipowner to negotiate the acceptability or otherwise of any form of guarantee less burdensome for the debtor and if they reach an agreement the applicant must notify the judge in writing and request the judge to release the ship from arrest and that shall be accepted by the judge and considered as good security. Naturally, such security if offered and accepted shall cover the amount of claim plus interest and costs. When the interest of Serbian persons is involved they will usually accept foreign bank guarantees for the amount of claim plus cost and interest as agreed between the Parties if confirmed by Serbian bank because if not confirmed by the Serbian bank, may cause difficulties in enforcement as the Serbian court has no jurisdiction over the foreign bank, and recognition of a Serbian judgment may have to be obtained.

20. Are P&I LOUs accepted as sufficient to lift the arrest?

The court cannot force the applicant to accept P&I Letter of undertaking or Letter of guarantee or in combination with P&I Club's bank but irrespective of that we have always instructed our clients without exerting pressure to consider acceptability of first class P&I Clubs of the Group who have always honoured their obligation and their Letter of undertaking, which is the advantage in most circumstances to release the vessel or preventing arrest and in its wording total liability is composed of such sum or sums as may be adjudged, inclusive of interest and costs.

Local insurance companies are not prone to issue back to back guarantee when a P&I guarantee had been issued by foreign P&I Club and another disadvantage is that P&I Club cannot post security for an uninsured claim.

21. How long does it take to release the ship?

The ship can be released from the arrest by order of the same judge that granted the arrest upon the occurrence of one of the causes that permit an discharge of an arrest order under Serbian law described hereinabove, and the release time usually takes no more than few hours on the same date of application for release subject to official hours of the Court.

22. Is there a procedure to contest the arrest?

Yes. The time for appealing an order for arrest to the Court of Appeal is 8 days from its notification to her master, who is considered to be the legal representative of the shipowner.

23. Which period of time will be granted by the Courts in order for the claimants to take legal action on the merits?

After the arrest the claimant must start proceeding on the merits within 15 days if the Serbian Court has jurisdiction for hearing the entire action on the merits, but if the parties have agreed to submit the dispute to the jurisdiction of particular Court or Arbitration tribunal other than that within whose jurisdiction the arrest was made the single judge in his discretion may grant a period of 30 up to 60 days to the claimant to start proceeding thereafter and if the action or proceedings are not brought within the time so fixed the defendant may apply for the release of the ship or of the bail or other security provided.

24. Do the Courts of your country acknowledge wrongful arrest?

Yes, although that matter is not regulated with MINA, 1998. The claim for indemnity can be instituted pursuant to The Law on Obligations and Torts against the applicant inflicting loss who wrongfully arrested the ship. The claim for indemnity should be placed in the separate proceeding. There is not sufficient case law so far whether the complaint for damages for wrongful arrest can be placed in the same arrest proceeding as known in other jurisdictions.

25. Do the Courts of your country acknowledge the piercing and lifting of the corporate veil?

The Court will not acknowledge the piercing and lifting of the corporate veil. Such institute does exist in corporate law but is not applicable for maritime claims because pursuant to the provision of MINA, 1998 the court will acknowledge the Owner/Operator/Charterer/ Manager as the key person who is liable for obligation arising from navigation and use of the vessel for intended purpose.

26. Is it possible to have a ship sold pendente lite; if so how long does it take?

This matter is not regulated by MINA, 1998 pursuant to which the compulsory sale of the vessel must be performed at public auction in rather lengthy court proceeding which must be properly advertised inviting all known creditors. Therefore the Security and Forced Execution Act being a subsidiary source of law which provides pendente lite sale of other assets in certain circumstances is not applicable in the case of arrested ship or seized in execution or satisfaction of the judgment.

*Attorney Radomir Milošević graduated magna cum laude from Belgrade University School of Law in 1977. He took his Master's degree from Belgrade University School of law in 1983. (Master thesis: Carriage of passengers by ship) and in 2012 earned his PhD degree (PhD thesis: Shipbuilding contracts). Mr. Milosevic spent a year practicing at Ingledew Botterell law firm, The North of England P&I club in England and Lloyd's insurance Market in 1989, specialization in international maritime law. He started his law practice in 1978 and has worked as an in-house attorney for several Belgrade-based shipping companies prior to launching his career as a practicing lawyer in 1991. He is managing partner at Law Office Milosevic. As a lawyer he has concentrated on international commercial matters, including shipping and insurance law, both Serbian and international.