## SHIP ARREST IN ARGENTINA

Francisco J. Venetucci\*
Venetucci & Asociados
fjv@venetucci.net
www.venetucci.net
Belgrano 253, S2220CNC - San Lorenzo
Santa Fe - Argentina
Mobile: +54 9 341 5615441

Tel/Fax: +54 3476 421556



1. Please give an overview of ship arrest practice in your country.

Argentina is a friendly jurisdiction for ship arrest. Despite not being party to any international treaty regarding ship arrest, Argentine legislation follows the 1952 arrest convention criteria. The court fees and the cost of the counter-security could reach up to 5% of the amount claimed. Arrest orders are normally granted in a matter of hours.

2. Which International Convention applies to arrest of ships in your country?

Argentina did not ratify any arrest convention.

3. Is there any other way to arrest a ship in your jurisdiction?

Ships can be arrested pursuant to Argentine legislation which in turn follows mostly the 1952 Arrest Convention criteria.

4. Are these alternatives e.g. saisie conservatoire or freezing order?

Under Argentine law, it is possible to arrest by saisie conservatoire the particular ship with which the contemplated action is concerned. Should the claimant seek a freezing order, the general rules of law will apply and not the Navigation act.

5. For which types of claims can you arrest a ship?

Vessels can be arrested on the following grounds:

- a. Maritime liens;
- b. Maritime claims regarding debts incurred in Argentina and in connection with the ship;
- c. Claims regarding any debt where Argentine courts have jurisdiction.
- 6. Can you arrest a ship irrespectively of her flag?

Yes. Foreign flag vessels can be arrested. The flag will determine the characterisation of the maritime lien.

7. Can you arrest a ship irrespectively of the debtor?

Yes. Ships can be arrested irrespectively of the debtor when in rem proceeding was brought.

8. What is the position as regards sister ships and ships in associated ownership?

According to the Navigation Act, the claimant of a debt incurred in Argentina may arrest either the particular ship in respect of which the maritime claim arose, or any other ship which is owned by the person who was, at the time when the maritime claim arose, the owner of the particular ship. As regards associated

ownership, ships shall be deemed to be in the same ownership when all the shares therein are owned by the same person or persons.

9. What is the position as regards Bareboat and Time-Chartered vessels?

In the case of a charter by demise of a ship, the charterer and not the registered owner is liable in respect of a maritime claim relating to that ship; the claimant may arrest such ship or any other ship in the ownership of the charterer by demise, but no other ship in the ownership of the registered owner shall be liable to arrest in respect of such maritime claim.

10. Do your Courts require counter-security in order to arrest a ship?

According to the Navigation Act, the court may order counter-security. However, it could be satisfied with a guarantee issued by a local insurer.

11. Is there any difference in respect to arresting a ship for a maritime claim and a maritime lien?

The proceeding does not differ.

12. Does your country recognise maritime liens? Under which International Convention, if any?

Yes. Pursuant to the Navigation Act the characterisation of the maritime lien will be established according to the law of the flag of the vessel. Furthermore, Argentina ratified the 1926 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules Relating to Maritime Liens and Mortgages which stands above the navigation act.

13. What lapse of time is required in order to arrest a ship from the moment the file arrives to your law firm?

It would depend on the port but normally it is within the 24hrs. Courts dealing with maritime cases are very expeditious, particularly in cases related to recoveries, and arrest orders are granted in a matter of hours.

14. Do you need to provide a POA or any other documents of the claim to the Court?

The Civil and Commercial Procedural Code requires a notarised PoA. However, there is no need to hand in the original document at the time of the arrest submission. It can be submitted at a later stage provided that the PoA is dated at the time of the submission.

15. What original documents are required, what documents can be filed electronically, what documents require notarisation and/or apostille, and when are they needed?

It would depend on the grounds for the arrest. In recoveries cases, copies of documents -e.g. invoices- are normally accepted. The court may require the submission of the original documents depending on the circumstances of the case. Argentina is party to The Hague Convention Abolishing the Requirement of Legalisation for Foreign Public Documents.

16. Will your Courts accept jurisdiction over the substantive claim once a vessel has been arrested?

Again, it would depend on the circumstances of the case. Argentina is party to the 1952 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules relating to Civil Jurisdiction in Matters of Collision. Hence, in such a case, Argentine court would accept jurisdiction over the substantive claim once the vessel has been arrested. In cases other than collisions, the general rules of conflict of laws will apply.

17. What is the procedure to release a ship from arrest?

Once the arrest is ordered, any interested party must appear in court to request the release of the ship by providing enough security.

18. What type of security needs to be placed for the release?

Cash deposit, banking guaranty or surety bonds and guarantees issued by local insurers are the most common.

19. Does security need to cover interest and costs?

Yes.

20. Are P&I LOUs accepted as sufficient to lift the arrest?

Local courts are in occasion unfamiliar with documents such us LoU and LoI. Furthermore, following the strict public policy in the field of insuring, surety bonds and guarantees issued by local insurers are most advisable.

21. How long does it take to release the ship?

It would depend upon the circumstance of the case and the court. The court may request prior approval of the security from the arrest petitioner since there is no rule addressing this issue. Naturally, the ship could be released by mutual agreement of the parties. The whole process could take 3/5 days. In case of casualties and collisions in Argentina, the Coastguard may order the detention of the vessel irrespective of any arrest order and the release would depend upon completion of the safety measures ordered by the Coastguard.

22. Is there a procedure to contest the arrest?

The arrest procedure is carried out *inaudita altera parte*, hence, once the writ is served, time would be best spent seeking the release of the ship and eventually claiming damages for wrongful arrest.

23. Which period of time will be granted by the Courts in order for the claimants to take legal action on the merits?

The time bar to bring proceedings on the merits is 10 days.

24. Do the Courts of your country acknowledge wrongful arrest?

Yes.

25. Do the Courts of your country acknowledge the piercing and lifting of the corporate veil?

Yes. Courts have pierced and lifted the veil of the corporate in bankruptcy proceedings and labour cases. However, courts are reluctant to do so in arrest proceedings involving one-ship companies.

## 26. Is it possible to have a ship sold pendente lite; if so how long does it take?

Yes. The court shall authorise the sale of the ship pendente lite in two scenarios. First, whereas the ship-owner exercises its right to abandon the ship having fulfilled the limitation of liability proceeding. Secondly, to avoid diminishing the value of the ship.

\*Francisco J. Venetucci, LLM in Maritime Law at Southampton University, is a partner at Venetucci & Asociados. He was called to the Rosario Bar Association and the Buenos Aires Bar Association. He has an extensive experience handling a broad range of disputes involving the Parana River Waterway. Francisco has a particular interest in complex issues of conflict of laws and judicial cooperation. He worked as an external consultant for local and international law firms. His firm specializes in Maritime Law and Commodity Trading.